IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA WHEELING

FAHEEM J. PASHA,

Petitioner.

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:19-CV-337 (BAILEY)

R. HUDGINS, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James P. Mazzone [Doc. 10]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Mazzone for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Mazzone filed his R&R on February 28, 2020, wherein he recommends petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] be denied and dismissed without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Snyder v.*

1

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Mazzone's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of service, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The docket reflects that service was accepted on March 2, 2020 [Doc. 11]. To date, no

objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and

Recommendation [Doc. 10] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the

reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, petitioner's Petition

for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] is hereby DENIED and

DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for lack of jurisdiction. This Court further **ORDERS**

that this matter be **STRICKEN** from the active docket of this Court and **DIRECTS** the Clerk

to enter judgment in favor of respondent.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record herein

and to mail a copy to the *pro se* petitioner.

DATED: March 25, 2020.

D STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2